UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA STATESBORO DIVISION

FRANKLIN M. BEDFORD,)	
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	CV620-009
DOE MEDICAL CONTRACTOR, et al.,)	
Defendants.)	

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION

After Plaintiff Franklin M. Bedford failed to comply with Chief Judge Hall's direction to file an amended complaint, doc. 17, the Court afforded him an additional 30 days to comply. Doc. 24 at 3-4 (entered August 31, 2021). To date, no amended complaint has been filed. See generally docket. This Court has the authority to prune cases from its dockets where parties have failed to comply with its Orders. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); see Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 630-31 (1962) (courts have the inherent authority to dismiss claims for lack of prosecution); Mingo v. Sugar Cane Growers Co-op, 864 F.2d 101, 102 (11th Cir. 1989); Jones v. Graham, 709 F.2d 1457, 1458 (11th Cir. 1983); Floyd v. United States, CV491-277 (S.D. Ga. June 10, 1992). Plaintiff's

case should thus be **DISMISSED** without prejudice for failing to comply with the Court's Order. *See* doc. 17 at 2 (noting that failure to file an amended complaint "will result in dismissal").

This report and recommendation (R&R) is submitted to the district judge assigned to this action, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and this Court's Local Rule 72.3. Within 14 days of service, any party may file written objections to this R&R with the Court and serve a copy on all parties. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendations." Any request for additional time to file objections should be filed with the Clerk for consideration by the assigned district judge.

After the objections period has ended, the Clerk shall submit this R&R together with any objections to the assigned district judge. The district judge will review the magistrate judge's findings and recommendation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). The parties are advised that failure to timely file objections will result in the waiver of rights on appeal. 11th Cir. R. 3-1; see Symonette v. V.A. Leasing Corp.,

648 F. App'x 787, 790 (11th Cir. 2016); Mitchell v. United States, 612 F.App'x 542, 545 (11th Cir. 2015).

SO REPORTED AND RECOMMENDED, this 11th day of April, 2022.

HRISTOPHER L. RAY

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA